



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

| 1035. | COMMISSIONER FOR FATERIS  |  |
|-------|---|--|
|       | P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450<br>www.uspto.gov |  |
|       |   |  |

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/132,479                             | 08/11/1998         | PATRICK YOUNG        | 32939-SB-S78             | 9496 -           |
| 23363 7590 07/01/2005                  |                    |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| •                                      | PARKER & HALE, LLP | TRAN, HAJ V          |                          |                  |
| PO BOX 7068<br>PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 |                    |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                                      | •                  |                      | . 2611                   |                  |
|  |                    |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 07/01/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                             |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Netter of Abandania  | 09/132,479  | YOUNG ET AL.                             |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit                                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hai Tran  | 2611                                     |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | <del></del>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate o     period for reply (including a total extension of time of                            | f Mailing or Transmission dated<br>f month(s)) which expired on _ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it doe   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3   | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)   |   | n the statutory period of three months   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w<br>), which is after the expiration of the statutory<br>Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar   | nce of \$ is due.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | . The publication fee, if required by 37                          | 7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$                     |  |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has  | not been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   | equired by, and within the three-month                            | period set in, the Notice of             |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra                             | nsmission dated), which is               |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.   | the attorney or agent of record, the as                           | signee of the entire interest, or all of |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre                           | sentative capacity under 37 CFR          |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Intert of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl  |   | se the period for seeking court review   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | /HAITBAN                                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | PR  | MARY EXAMINER                            |  |  |  |  |
|  | <i>[V]</i>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with  | draw the holding of abandonment under 37                          | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to   |  |  |  |  |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic   | e of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No. 20050627               |  |  |  |  |